Highly Angiogenic, Nonthrombogenic Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cell–Derived Spheroids in Intraportal Islet Transplantation
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Highly angiogenic bone marrow mononuclear cell–derived spheroids (BM-spheroids), formed by selective proliferation of the CD31+CD14+CD34+ monocyte subset via three-dimensional (3D) culture, have had robust angiogenetic capacity in rodent syngeneic renal subcapsular islet transplantation. We wondered whether the efficacy of BM-spheroids could be demonstrated in clinically relevant intraportal islet transplantation models without increasing the risk of portal thrombosis. The thrombogenic potential of intraportally infused BM-spheroids was compared with that of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and MSC-derived spheroids (MSC-spheroids). The angiogenic efficacy and persistence in portal sinusoids of BM-spheroids were examined in rodent syngeneic and primate allogeneic intraportal islet transplantation models. In contrast to MSCs and MSC-spheroids, intraportal infusion of BM-spheroids did not evoke portal thrombosis. BM-spheroids had robust angiogenetic capacity in both the rodent and primate intraportal islet transplantation models and improved posttransplant glycemic outcomes. MRI and intravital microscopy findings revealed the persistence of intraportally infused BM-spheroids in portal sinusoids. Intraportal cotransplantation of allogeneic islets with autologous BM-spheroids in nonhuman primates further confirmed the clinical feasibility of this approach. In conclusion, cotransplantation of BM-spheroids enhances intraportal islet transplantation outcome without portal thrombosis in mice and nonhuman primates. Generating BM-spheroids by 3D culture prevented the rapid migration and disappearance of intraportally infused therapeutic cells.

Despite the encouraging results of the first multicenter phase 3 clinical trial in patients with type 1 diabetes complicated by severe hypoglycemia (1), the long-term metabolic outcome of clinical allogeneic islet transplantation is still not curative, even with potent immunosuppression (1–3). Although impaired revascularization of islet grafts in the portal sinusoid has long been considered one of the most important factors in the destruction of islet grafts in the early posttransplant period (4,5), no definite solution is clinically available. In this context, cotransplantation of bone marrow–derived mononuclear cells (BM-MNCs) and early or late endothelial progenitor cells with islets has been attempted and has shown promising results in small animal models (6–9), although the scarcity of the therapeutic cell population has been an obstacle to this approach. Recently, we tested a strategy to expand an autologous therapeutic cell population that possesses higher angiogenic or paracrine activities (10,11), namely, cotransplantation of islets with spheroids formed by selective ex vivo proliferation of the highly angiogenic CD31+CD14+CD34+ monocyte subset via a three-dimensional (3D) culture technique that uses 3D culture, allowing the selective proliferation of the highly angiogenic CD31+CD14+CD34+ monocyte subset. This approach enhances the survival and function of islet grafts in both rodent and primate models, thereby improving posttransplant glycemic outcomes.
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a high cell density and nonattachable culture system (12). In our previous study, highly angiogenic bone marrow-derived spheroids (BM-spheroids) not only had robust angiogenic capacities, and actively participated in vessel formation in vivo, but also improved the survival and function of islet grafts in a mouse syngeneic marginal mass renal subcapsular islet transplantation model (12).

However, clinical application of this approach should be preceded by proof of its efficacy and safety in a clinically relevant intraportal islet transplantation model, in which loss of up to 60% of islets occurs in the first 2–3 days after transplantation, primarily because of the nonspecific inflammatory and thrombotic reaction called the instant blood-mediated inflammatory reaction (IBMIR) (13–15). The colocalization of islets with other therapeutic cells in portal sinuses is another issue for the clinical application of this approach to intraportal islet transplantation because cotransplanted therapeutic cells could circulate throughout the whole body after intraportal injection, whereas islets are entrapped in the portal sinuses. For reproduction of the benefits of their possible paracrine effects and direct incorporation into vessel formation in the renal subcapsular islet transplantation model in which islets and BM-spheroids are physically colocalized, the colocalization of BM-spheroids and islets in portal sinuses should be secured in large-animal intraportal islet transplantation models. In addition, the safety of the therapeutic cells cotransplanted with islets should be documented in an intraportal islet transplantation model, with attention to previous studies that have reported that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) might not be compatible with human blood and might trigger IBMIR, depending on the cell dose and passage number (16). Thus, compatibility of BM-spheroids with recipient blood in the portal sinusoid should be confirmed before any human trial of this approach.

In this study, we wondered whether the robust angiogenic efficacy of BM-spheroids could be demonstrated in rodent and nonhuman primate (NHP) intraportal islet transplantation models without increasing the risk of portal thrombosis.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Animals**

C57BL/6J or green fluorescent protein-transgenic (GFP-Tg) mice from the C57BL/6J background were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Male GFP-Tg and wild-type C57BL/6J mice aged 10–12 weeks were used as donors and recipients, depending on the experiment. Diabetes was induced with streptozotocin (STZ) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), administered intraperitoneally at 180 mg/kg in a citrate buffer solution (Sigma-Aldrich). Blood glucose concentration was measured with Accu-Chek glucose monitors (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) using blood from tail pinpricks. Diabetic mice with nonfasting blood glucose level ≥400 mg/dL for more than two consecutive days were used as recipients for islet grafts.

Cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) were 4–5 years of age and weighed 3–4 kg (Orient Bio Co. Ltd, Seongnam, Korea). Diabetes was induced with subtotal pancreatectomy followed by intravenous administration of 60–80 mg/kg STZ as previously described (17) (Supplementary Data). After induction of diabetes, all four NHPs satisfied all three of the following criteria (18): 1) sustained hyperglycemia (blood glucose level >250 mg/dL), 2) fasting NHP C-peptide level consistently <1.0 ng/mL or less than one-third of the preinduction level, and 3) absence of stimulated C-peptide response with intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT).

Mice experiments were approved by the institutional animal care and use committees (IACUCs) of Samsung Medical Center and the Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology. Monkey experiments were approved by the IACUCs of Samsung Medical Center and Orient Bio Laboratories.

**Spheroid Culture From BM-MNCs of Mice and NHPs**

We performed 3D culture of mice and NHP BM-MNCs as previously described (12) (Supplementary Data). The experimental scheme for the intraportal cotransplantation of allogeneic islets and autologous BM-spheroids in the NHP model is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1A. Autologous BM-MNCs derived from the NHP recipients were counted and stored in liquid nitrogen until the diabetic NHP recipients received allogeneic NHP islet transplants. Frozen BM-MNCs were thawed and cultured for formation of spheroids 4–5 days before islet transplantation. The average size of the spheroids was 108 ± 4.3 μm (Supplementary Fig. 1B and C), and the average number of single cells per spheroid was 2,063 ± 831 cells. The viability of the thawed BM-MNCs from three NHP recipients was ~90%.

**Tracking of Intraportally Infused BM-Spheroids in Mice and NHPs**

We tracked BM-spheroids labeled with ferucarbotran (Resovist; Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany), a superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO), by MRI in the mouse and NHP models. GFP BM-spheroids derived from GFP-Tg mice were tracked by ex vivo bioluminescent imaging. To confirm the presence of the intraportally transplanted single cells dissociated from the BM-spheroids and intact BM-spheroids in the liver during the early posttransplant period, we used intravital microscopy (IVM) using a custom-built video-rate confocal microscopy platform as previously described (19, 20). Detailed methods of SPIO labeling and MRI (21), ex vivo bioluminescent imaging, and IVM imaging are available in the Supplementary Data.

**Mouse Pancreatic-Derived MSCs**

Mouse pancreatic-derived MSCs (mpMSCs) were isolated from male GFP-Tg and wild-type C57BL/6J mice aged 10–12 weeks. Pancreatic cells left at the bottom after islet isolation by density gradient separation were washed in PBS and cultured with α-minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Medium was changed after 24–48 h to remove nonadherent
cells. When cultures reached confluence, cells were trypsinized and subcultured. In the current study, we used mPMSCs at passage 3. The cell surface marker pattern of the mPMSCs was analyzed by flow cytometry. The expression levels of genes with thrombogenic and proinflammatory properties in MSCs, MSC-derived spheroids (MSC-spheroids), and BM-spheroids were compared by microarrays and Western blot analysis (Supplementary Data).

**Intraportal Cotransplantation of Islets and BM-Spheroids in Mice and NHPs**

Mice and NHP islets were isolated using a modified Ricordi method (22,23). In the murine models, cotransplantation of islets and BM-spheroids or dissociated BM-spheroids and analysis of posttransplant outcome were performed in a syngeneic marginal mass mouse intraportal islet transplantation model.

In the NHP model, allogeneic marginal mass (20,000 islet equivalents [IEQ]/kg) of NHP islets (control group, n = 2) and a mixture of allogeneic marginal mass (20,000 IEQ/kg) of NHP islets and NHP recipient autologous BM-spheroids (BM-spheroid group, n = 2: 35,750 spheroids in the BM-spheroid-1 recipient and 45,000 spheroids in the BM-spheroid-2 recipient) were transplanted with an immunosuppressive regimen used in a previous clinical study (24). Detailed methods of islet isolation, assessment of islet graft function, and immunohistochemistry of islet grafts are described in the Supplementary Data.

**Statistics**

Values are expressed as the mean ± SEM. The two groups were evaluated using an unpaired t test, and multiple comparisons between data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA), and a P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Evaluation of Thrombogenesis After Intraportal Injection of MSCs, MSC-Spheroids, and BM-Spheroids**

We first examined whether intraportal infusion of monolayer-cultured single MSCs (MSC-monolayers) and MSC-spheroids with various cell numbers per infusion evoked intraportal thrombosis. When cell-surface antigen expression was analyzed by flow cytometry, MSCs were positive for CD44, CD90.2, and Sca-1 but negative for CD31, CD34, CD45, CD117, and Flk-1 (Supplementary Fig. 2A). After 3 days of hanging drop culture, MSC-spheroids derived from different numbers (1 × 10^3, 2.5 × 10^3, 5 × 10^3, and 1 × 10^4) of MSCs had diameters that varied according to the number of source MSCs (116 ± 11.03 μm, 170 ± 13.73 μm, 210 ± 12.61 μm, and 272 ± 21.19 μm in diameter, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 2B and C). The real-time-PCR results indicated that the expression levels of angiogenic factors Angt1, Angt2, Vegf, Fgf2, Igf1, Tgfα, and Plgf are higher in MSC-spheroids than in MSC-monolayers (Supplementary Fig. 2D).

MSC-monolayers (1 × 10^5, 2.5 × 10^5, and 5 × 10^5 cells), ∼300 MSC-spheroids containing 3 × 10^6 cells (∼1 × 10^3 cells per spheroid [Sph 1 × 10^3]), and ∼100 BM-spheroids containing 1 × 10^6 cells (∼1 × 10^4 cells per spheroid [Sph 1 × 10^4]) were intraportally injected. After 24 h, we grossly observed the infarcted regions in the peripheral areas of livers that received MSC-monolayers and Sph 1 × 10^3 (Fig. 1A). The livers that received Sph 1 × 10^3 did not grossly show an infarction region. However, hematoxylin-eosin (H-E) staining revealed areas of necrosis accompanied by infiltration of neutrophils in all livers that received Sph 1 × 10^3, Sph 1 × 10^4, and MSC-monolayers (Fig. 1C). The infused GFP MSC-spheroids were tightly trapped in the CD31-stained blood vessels and observed in the proximity of the necrotic regions (Fig. 1D). Both H-E staining and GFP fluorescence revealed that the MSC-spheroids were responsible for the thrombosis (Fig. 1C and D). The GFP MSC-spheroids did not scatter throughout the whole liver (Supplementary Fig. 3). In contrast, the intraportally administrated GFP MSC-monolayers, which caused most severe hepatic infarction, scattered throughout the whole liver (Supplementary Fig. 3).

We then examined whether thrombosis can be evoked by single cells dissociated from BM-spheroids (dissociated BM-spheroids) and intact BM-spheroids. Different numbers of dissociated BM-spheroids (5 × 10^4 and 1 × 10^4 cells) or intact BM-spheroids (containing 5 × 10^3, 1 × 10^3, and 2 × 10^6 cells) were intraportally transplanted. Regardless of the infused cell number, no infarcted regions were grossly observed in the livers that received either dissociated BM-spheroids or intact BM-spheroids (Fig. 1B). Histologically, no infarcted regions were observed (Fig. 1C).

Next, we performed microarray analysis to investigate the differences in the genes involved in IBMIR between BM-spheroids and MSC-spheroids. Higher mRNA expression levels of genes with thrombogenic and proinflammatory properties (Tf, Fbln1, Serpine1, Ccl2, Ccl8, Cxcl12, and Il6) were observed in MSC-spheroids than in BM-spheroids (Fig. 2A). Because Tf (also known as coagulation factor III and F3) and Ccl2 are major coagulation and proinflammatory cytokines, we used Western blotting to examine difference in the protein levels of MSC-monolayers, MSC-spheroids, and BM-spheroids. The MSC-monolayers showed higher Tf protein levels than the MSC-spheroids (up to 5 × 10^5 cells/spheroid). The Tf and Ccl2 protein levels were significantly lower in the BM-MNCs and BM-spheroids than in the MSC-monolayers and MSC-spheroids (Fig. 2C and D). Immunofluorescence also revealed that the infused MSC-monolayers and MSC-spheroids expressed Tf, but the BM-spheroids did not (Fig. 2B).

**Cotransplantation of BM-Spheroids and Islets Improved Posttransplant Metabolic Outcomes of Mouse Syngeneic Intraportal Islet Transplantation**

To examine whether cotransplantation of BM-spheroids and islets could enhance the outcomes from intraportal islet transplantation, we evaluated in vivo islet function using
a syngeneic intraportal marginal mass (300 handpicked islets) islet transplantation model. As shown in Fig. 3A, diabetic mice received islets alone (islets alone group), islets plus 150 BM-spheroids (containing 5 × 10^5 cells [BM-spheroid group]), or islets plus single cells dissociated from the same number of BM-spheroids (dissociated

**Figure 1**—Histological assessment of liver tissue that received transplanted MSCs, MSC-spheroids, and BM-spheroids. A: Approximately 300 MSC-spheroids (containing 3 × 10^5 cells [1 × 10^5 cells per spheroid], 100 MSC-spheroids (containing 1 × 10^6 cells [1 × 10^5 cells per spheroid], and MSC-monolayers (1 × 10^5, 2.5 × 10^5, and 5 × 10^5) were transplanted via the portal vein. After 24 h, each liver was extracted and observed for the presence of infarcted areas. Black arrows indicate the infarcted areas. B: Approximately 150 BM-spheroids (containing 5 × 10^5 cells), 300 BM-spheroids (containing 1 × 10^6 cells), 600 BM-spheroids (containing 2 × 10^6 cells), and dissociated BM-spheroids (5 × 10^5 and 1 × 10^6 cells) were intraportally injected. Each liver was examined for the presence of infarcted areas. C: MSC-monolayers, MSC-spheroids, and BM-spheroids were transplanted via the portal vein. At DPT 1, liver tissues were prepared for H-E staining. Livers that received intraportally transplanted MSCs showed pathological changes, but those that received BM-spheroids did not. Arrows indicate infarction regions. Scale bars, 2 mm. Enlarged regions from the black square boxes indicate the infiltration of immune cells. Scale bars, 100 μm. D: GFP MSC-monolayers and -spheroids were used to trace the behavior of MSC-monolayers and MSC-spheroids after intraportal injection. Liver tissues were stained with CD31 (red) for vessels and GFP (green) for MSCs. The asterisk indicates necrotic area, and the white arrow indicates blood clot formation. Scale bars, 100 μm.
BM-spheroid group) in the liver through the portal vein. Posttransplant blood glucose levels were lower in the BM-spheroid group than in the islets alone and dissociated BM-spheroid groups. The average blood glucose level of the BM-spheroid group was significantly lower than that of the islets alone ($P < 0.01$) and dissociated BM-spheroid groups ($P < 0.05$) at day posttransplantation (DPT) 28 (Fig. 3B–D). The diabetes reversal rate was also higher in the BM-spheroid group than in the islets alone and dissociated BM-spheroid groups (Fig. 3E). Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance tests at DPT 28 revealed that glucose tolerance in the BM-spheroid group was significantly better than in the islets alone and dissociated BM-spheroid groups (Fig. 3F). Serum insulin concentrations before and 30 min after glucose injection were also higher in the BM-spheroid group than in the other groups (Fig. 3H).

Next, we intraportally cotransplanted lower numbers of BM-spheroids ($1 \times 10^5$ cells [lower number BM-spheroid group]) with islets and compared that outcome with outcomes from intraportal islet transplantation with or without concurrent tail vein injection of higher numbers ($0.5–1 \times 10^6$ cells) of single cells dissociated from BM-spheroids (tail vein dissociated BM-spheroid group) (Supplementary Fig. 4A). The posttransplant blood glucose level, diabetes reversal rate, and glucose tolerance were markedly improved in the lower number BM-spheroid group compared with those in the islets alone and tail vein dissociated BM-spheroid groups (Supplementary Fig. 4B–G).

**Cotransplanting BM-Spheroids and Islets Improved Islet Composition and Enhanced Revascularization in Mouse Syngeneic Intraportal Islet Transplantation**

Islet morphology and vessel density were assessed in graft-bearing livers at DPT 28. Islets were detected within the liver parenchyma and around the portal venules in all groups. Insulin staining of intraportally transplanted islets indicated more intact islet morphology in the BM-spheroid group than in the other groups (Fig. 4A). The fractional $\beta$-cell area, islet size, and islet number/total area of the
Figure 3—Reversal of diabetes after intraportal cotransplantation of BM-spheroids and islets. 

A: Experimental scheme of intraportal cotransplantation of islets and BM-spheroids. Experimental animals were divided into three groups: islets alone (300 handpicked islets), islets (300 islets) plus 150–200 BM-spheroids, and islets (300 islets) plus $5 \times 10^5$ single cells dissociated from BM-spheroids. Islets and BM-spheroids were cotransplanted through the portal vein of mice with STZ-induced diabetes.

B–D: Blood glucose concentration of mice intraportally transplanted with islets alone ($n=15$) (islets alone group) (B), islets plus BM-spheroids ($n=12$) (BM-spheroid group) (D), or islets plus single cells dissociated from BM-spheroids ($n=8$) (dissociated BM-spheroid group) (C) was measured up to DPT 28. The mean blood glucose level was $399 \pm 65$ mg/dL in islets alone, $375 \pm 69$ mg/dL in dissociated BM-spheroid, and $184 \pm 23$ mg/dL in BM-spheroid at DPT 28. 

E: Cumulative diabetes reversal curve after islet transplantation. Normoglycemia was defined as $<200$ mg/dL on consecutive days. $^* P < 0.05$ for log-rank test of islets alone (4/15) or dissociated BM-spheroid (3/8) vs. BM-spheroid (12/14).

F: Glucose tolerance test at DPT 28. Blood glucose levels were measured...
BM-spheroid group were significantly greater than those in the other groups (Fig. 4B–D). The relative distribution of the glucagon-positive cells did not differ between groups (Supplementary Fig. 5A). For identification of whether BM-spheroids remained within the recipient liver, BM-spheroids from GFP-Tg mice (GFP BM-spheroids) and dissociated single cells from GFP BM-spheroids (GFP dissociated BM-spheroids) were cotransplanted with islets via the portal vein. Transplanted GFP BM-spheroids were found throughout the recipient liver at DPT 14 and 28, whereas GFP dissociated BM-spheroids were rarely observed (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Fig. 5B). The vascular density of the insulin-positive area in the BM-spheroid group was significantly higher than that in the islets alone and dissociated BM-spheroid groups at DPT 14 and 28, even without incorporation of GFP BM-spheroids into the intraislet vasculatures (Fig. 4F–H).

When the islet grafts in the experiments depicted in Supplementary Fig. 4 were analyzed, the lower number BM-spheroid group showed higher fractional β-cell area, islet size, islet number/total area, and vascular density of insulin-positive area than the islets alone and tail vein dissociated BM-spheroid groups (Supplementary Fig. 6).

When the recipient pancreata in each experiment were analyzed, insulin-stained cells were rarely observed, and even if observed, the insulin-positive cells comprised only an extremely small fraction of pancreatic islets (Supplementary Fig. 7A).

**Distribution of Dissociated or Intact BM-Spheroids in the Livers of Syngeneic Mouse Recipients After Intraportal Injection**

After injecting GFP dissociated BM-spheroids or intact GFP BM-spheroids via the portal vein, we used bioluminescent imaging to trace the transplanted GFP cells in the spleen, lung, and liver at DPT 1. Most of the intact GFP BM-spheroids accumulated in the liver—not in the lung or spleen. However, GFP dissociated BM-spheroids showed lower fluorescence intensity in the liver than intact GFP BM-spheroids and high fluorescence intensity in the lung (Fig. 5A).

In parallel, we aimed to confirm the distribution of BM-spheroids in recipient livers using MRI of SPIOs. BM-MNCs were labeled with ferucarbotran for 24 h and then cultured for 4 days to form BM-spheroids. Ex vivo MRI of BM-spheroids labeled with ferucarbotran revealed visible hypointense spots representing the labeled BM-spheroids (Fig. 5B). The labeling agent did not compromise the viability or 3D formation of BM-spheroids during the culture period (data not shown). When we infused the ferucarbotran-labeled dissociated BM-spheroids or ferucarbotran-labeled intact BM-spheroids via the portal vein, the number of hypointense spots representing the labeled cells in the BM-spheroid group was greater than that in the dissociated BM-spheroid group at DPT 1 and 8 (Fig. 5C).

To confirm the presence of the intraportally transplanted dissociated BM-spheroids and intact BM-spheroids in the liver during the early posttransplant period, we obtained IVM images. The number of fluorescent spots representing intact GFP BM-spheroids was greater than the number representing GFP dissociated BM-spheroids at DPT 0, 1, and 7. Variable sizes of GFP BM-spheroids were detectable at DPT 0, and their shapes were rarely spherical (Fig. 5D). GFP dissociated BM-spheroids rapidly disappeared over time, so that no fluorescent spots representing GFP dissociated BM-spheroids were observed at DPT 7 (Fig. 5D). Collectively, these results indicate that the BM-spheroids are trapped within the liver, whereas dissociated BM-spheroids drain into the systemic circulation.

**Cotransplantation of BM-Spheroids and Islets in NHP Allogeneic Intraportal Marginal Mass Islet Transplantation**

To confirm the localization of NHP autologous BM-spheroids within the recipient liver, we first autologously transplanted NHP BM-spheroids labeled with an SPIO (ferucarbotran). At DPT 2, an MRI of the recipient liver revealed hypointense spots representing the labeled BM-spheroids (Fig. 6A). At DPT 17, the NHP was sacrificed, and ex vivo MRI of the liver and iron staining were performed. In this analysis, the hypointense spots were confirmed to represent Prussian blue-stained BM-spheroids (Fig. 6B). In addition, we found no evidence of thrombosis in the portal veins or venules (data not shown).

We then transplanted an allogeneic marginal mass (20,000 IEQ per recipient’s body weight in kilograms) of NHP islets with (BM-spheroid group) or without (control group) the NHP recipient’s autologous BM-spheroids into NHP recipients with STZ-induced diabetes (n = 2 per each group).

In the immunohistochemistry analysis of the recipient livers in the control group, the majority of the graft sites were infiltrated with many T cells and macrophages, and only a few islets showed successful engraftment (Fig. 6C), resulting in negligible fractional β-cell areas (Fig. 6D). In contrast, the immunohistochemistry analysis of the recipient livers in the BM-spheroid group revealed intact islet grafts with minimal infiltration of T cells and macrophages. CD31-positive vessels were observed in the periphery of the islets or within insulin-positive endocrine areas in the BM-spheroid group but not in the control group (Fig. 6C). The insulin-positive area in the BM-spheroid group was larger than that in the control group (Fig. 6D). There was no evidence of portal vein thrombosis or infarction of the liver in either group of recipients (data not shown).

at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min after glucose (1 g/kg) injection. G: Area under the curve (AUC_{glu}) for intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test. *P < 0.05. H: Fasting serum insulin levels at 0 and 30 min after glucose loading. *P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparisons test.
Figure 4—Assessment of morphology and revascularization for graft-bearing liver cotransplanted with BM-spheroids. A: At DPT 28, the graft-bearing liver tissues were stained for insulin. Representative images of islets transplanted via the portal vein are shown. Regions denoted by a black line indicate magnified images (right panel). Scale bars, 50 μm. B-D: Evaluation of fractional β-cell area (B), islet size (C), and islet number/total area (D) for the grafted tissues of each group. *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001. E: For tracing of the transplanted BM-spheroids and functional vessels, GFP BM-spheroids derived from GFP-Tg mice were cotransplanted with islets, and rhodamine-labeled BS1 lectin was intracardially injected. Liver tissues were stained with GFP antibody to determine how many GFP cells were present at DPT 14. Regions denoted by a white line indicate magnified images (right panel). Scale bars of left panel, 1 mm, and scale bars of right panel, 100 μm. F: At DPT 14 and 28, representative images indicate vessel formation at the graft site. Vessels, islets, and GFP BM-spheroids were stained for lectin (red), insulin (blue), and GFP (green). Scale bars, 100 μm. G and H: Quantification of the vessel density of each group at DPT 14 (G) and DPT 28 (H). *P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparisons test. ECs, endothelial cells.
The two recipients in the control group continued to receive exogenous insulin, with the doses reduced from those before islet transplantation, whereas the post-transplant blood glucose levels were maintained within the target range (random glucose <200 mg/dL) without administration of exogenous insulin in the two recipients in the BM-spheroid group (Fig. 7). In the recipient pancreata of control and BM-spheroid groups, insulin-stained cells were rarely observed, and even if observed, the insulin-positive cells comprised only an extremely small fraction of pancreatic islets (Supplementary Fig. 7B).
Figure 6—MRI imaging of NHP BM-spheroids and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of graft-bearing livers. A: In the NHP model, NHP BM-MNCs were labeled with ferucarbotran for 24 h and then cultured for 3 days to form BM-spheroids. Ferucarbotran-labeled NHP BM-spheroids were transplanted via the portal vein and observed by MRI at DPT 2. White elliptical regions indicate visible hypointense spots from ferucarbotran-labeled NHP BM-spheroids. B: Concurrent tissue ex vivo MRI and histological analysis. A monkey was sacrificed at DPT 17, and liver tissues were obtained for tissue ex vivo MRI and iron staining. Left panel: liver tissues sliced from the caudate lobe of the liver (arrows of top-right corner inset). Middle panel: ex vivo MRI imaging of the liver slices shown in the left panel. Right panel: Prussian blue staining for iron in the same tissue section; blue circles indicate the correspondence of hypointense spots and Prussian blue staining, and the upper and lower panels are magnified views of iron staining (blue). Scale bars, 100 μm. C: Livers were obtained from control-1, control-2, BM-spheroid-1, and BM-spheroid-2 at DPT 90, 90, 36, and 191, respectively. Live tissues were stained with anti-insulin, CD3, CD68, and CD31 antibodies to reveal...
DISCUSSION

The 3D culture models allow various cells to behave more like in vivo cells, providing enhanced therapeutic efficacy (25) and local persistence of therapeutic cells (26). In the current study, the robust angiogenic efficacy of the BM-spheroids in our previous study (12) was reproduced in more clinically relevant intraportal islet transplantation models, and the BM-spheroids did not evoke portal thrombosis even when the infused cell number far exceeded the number of MSCs that caused liver infarction after intraportal injection in parallel experiments.

In this study, generating BM-spheroids by 3D culture prevented the rapid migration and disappearance of intraportally infused therapeutic cells. This was in contrast to the behavior of dissociated BM-spheroids in this study and MSC-monolayers in a previous study (27), which rapidly drained to the systemic circulation and became trapped in the lung after administration. The benefit of cotransplanted BM-spheroids likely depends on their colocalization with islets in portal sinusoids because dissociated BM-spheroids did not retain the benefit of islet engraftment, even with greater cell numbers than used for the intact BM-spheroids, regardless of the administration route. Given the extraislet location of GFP BM-spheroids suggesting paracrine mechanism and the rapid time course of revascularization and glycemic benefit, the main mechanism for the angiogenic efficacy of BM-spheroids is likely to be a paracrine effect rather than a direct incorporation or a systemic effect. In addition, the reduced infiltration of T cells in the islet grafts (Fig. 6C) could be another explanation for the angiogenic efficacy of BM-spheroids because the effector T-cell cytokine interferon-γ has been shown to be antiangiogenic in tumor (28) and lung ischemia models (29).

The thrombogenicity of MSC-spheroids in portal sinusoids is another novel finding of this study. Although little attention has been given to it, MSCs provoke IBMIR (16), and that could contribute to their lack of persistence in target tissue. Even though we used short-term expanded MSCs (three passages) with less procoagulant activity than MSCs with higher passage numbers, the infused MSC-monolayers scattered throughout the whole liver and caused extensive portal thrombogenesis regardless of infused cell number. Interestingly, both thrombogenicity and the increase in the level of TF expression were attenuated in MSC-spheroids compared with MSC-monolayers. The GFP MSC-spheroids did not scatter throughout the whole liver, with much less portal thrombosis than that of MSC-monolayers (Supplementary Fig. 3). In contrast to the MSC-monolayers and MSC-spheroids, the nonthrombogenicity of BM-spheroids was histologically proven in this study and was further supported by low expression levels of TF and CCL2.

The results of our pilot NHP study, which used a readily available immunosuppressive regimen, supported the clinical feasibility of cotransplantation of allogeneic islets and autologous BM-spheroids. We also demonstrated the localization of BM-spheroids in the liver of NHP recipients using a 4.7T preclinical MRI of SPIO-labeled BM-spheroids. For clinical application, this strategy has several practical benefits. First, we derived the autologous BM-spheroids from precollected bone marrow from the recipient monkey. We froze the bone marrow and thawed it immediately before islet isolation. This is compatible with actual clinical settings for allogeneic islet transplantation, in which isolated islets are typically cultured for up to 3 days (1). Second, the procedure does not require surface modification of transplanted islets, which usually causes loss of therapeutic islet mass due to structural destruction of isolated islets. This is in contrast to other approaches, such as encapsulation of islets (30), islet surface camouflage (31,32), and coating the islet surface with endothelial cells (33) or endothelial progenitor cells (7). Third, our histological analysis of the recipient liver revealed small, elliptical BM-spheroids in more peripheral areas of the liver than the areas containing islet grafts. This morphological transformation of BM-spheroids might have contributed to the lack of hepatic infarction after infusion of the BM-spheroids into the portal sinusoids. In this context, it is also reassuring that cotransplantation of islets with a lower number of BM-spheroids (approximately one-third that of the cotransplanted islet cells) still produced the benefit.

This study had several limitations that should be discussed. Although portal thrombosis was evoked by MSCs in our experiments, the results of this study should not be interpreted as denial of the clinical feasibility of MSC-islet cotransplantation because various studies on the optimization of 3D culture methods and biomaterial-based modification are ongoing (34,35). In addition, we failed to prove the difference in C-peptide response after intravenous glucose challenge between the groups in NHP experiments. Although posttransplant body weight was stable in all the NHP recipients and all the recipient pancreata had minimal fraction of insulin-stained cells in this study, the findings of our NHP pilot study should be confirmed by further study with better control of possible model-induced confounding factors such as insufficient insulin secretion due to the marginal mass of islet graft, as well as malabsorptive caloric reduction due to the immunosuppressant-induced gastrointestinal toxicity (36,37).

In conclusion, cotransplantation of angiogenic monocyte subset spheroids derived from BM-MNCs enhances intraportal islet transplantation outcomes without portal thrombosis in mice and NHPs. In addition to their robust angiogenic capacity in vivo, 3D culture-formed BM-spheroids

NHP β-cells, T cells, macrophages, and vessels, respectively. Islets from normoglycemic BM-spheroid-1 and BM-spheroid-2 show intact morphology and are devoid of immune cell infiltration, whereas the control group of islets alone showed no insulin-positive β-cells or CD31-stained vessel cells and was occupied by immune cells. Scale bars, 100 μm. D: Quantification of the β-cell areas (%) in control-1, control-2, BM-spheroid-1, and BM-spheroid-2. H&E, hematoxylin-eosin.
Figure 7—Blood glucose control by cotransplantation of islets and NHP BM-spheroids. A and B: Fasting blood glucose level and monkey C-peptide were measured in two monkeys that received intraportal transplants of allogeneic monkey islets (20,000 IEQ/kg) (A) and two monkeys that received cotransplants of allogeneic monkey islets (20,000 IEQ/kg) (B) and autologous recipient BM-spheroids via the portal vein. The control-1 and control-2 monkeys were insulin dependent until the end of the experiment (at DPT 93 and 98, respectively), whereas the BM-spheroid-1 monkey was insulin independent for 36 days (before sacrifice) and the BM-spheroid-2 monkey continued to maintain normoglycemia without insulin injection until the end of the experiment (at DPT 191). Blue line, blood glucose levels; red bar, monkey C-peptide; green bar, exogenous insulin. C: IVGTT. An IVGTT was performed at the indicated time points and examined before and after diabetes (DM) and 1, 2, and 6 months (mo) posttransplantation (post TPL). D: C-peptide secretion in response to glucose load. Before induction of diabetes, monkey C-peptide was normally detected after glucose injection; after induction of diabetes, monkeys showed C-peptide levels <1.0 ng/mL. E: The body weight change after islet transplantation in each recipient.
provided additional benefits in terms of localized persistence in portal sinusoids.
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